

Human Dignity and Integral Development in a Digital World: Living the Vision of *Magnifica Humanitas*

Ligi James Vettukallel¹

Director, Ferrando Institute of Spirituality, Umsning,
Meghalaya, India

Abstract

The digital transformation of contemporary society is reshaping human relationships, education, work, communication, identity, and patterns of social participation. Artificial intelligence, social media, digital platforms, and algorithmic systems offer remarkable possibilities for human advancement. However, they also raise fundamental questions concerning human dignity, freedom, relationality, truth, psychological well-being, and the common good. This article reflects on these challenges through the vision of *Magnifica Humanitas*, placing the human person at the centre of technological development. Drawing upon Christian anthropology, Catholic social teaching, spirituality, psychology, and contemporary reflections on digital culture, the article argues that technological progress cannot by itself be equated with integral human development. Authentic development embraces the whole person and every person, intellectually, emotionally, socially, morally, culturally, and spiritually. The article grounds the theme in solid theological foundations of human dignity, discusses the opportunities and challenges of the digital world and aspects of integral human development, and draws the pathways for living the vision of *Magnifica Humanitas*. Particular attention is given to the education and formation of children and young people, the psychological effects of

¹ Dr Sr. Ligi James Vettukallel MSMHC, is the Director of Ferrando Institute of Spirituality, Umsning, Meghalaya. She holds an M.Phil. in Counselling Psychology from CHRIST University, Bangalore and a Doctorate in Spiritual Theology from Salesian Pontifical University, Rome.

digital environments, the digital divide, the dignity of work, and the spiritual importance of silence, discernment, presence, and communion. The article concludes that the Christian response to technological transformation should be neither rejection nor uncritical acceptance, but a creative humanisation of digital culture. The ultimate challenge is not to create a humanity that is merely more technologically advanced, but a digital world in which every person can flourish in dignity, freedom, fraternity, and communion with God and others.

Keywords: Human dignity, digital culture, artificial intelligence, *Magnifica Humanitas*, Christian anthropology, digital education, Catholic social teaching

Introduction

Today, we live in an era of profound transformation. Progress in digital technologies has moved from occasional tools to environments in which we increasingly live. Digital platforms, in various forms, influence how we learn, work, communicate, make choices, construct identities, and relate to one another. The digital world has brought extraordinary possibilities for human creativity and development. At the same time, it has introduced new forms of vulnerability, dependence, exclusion, manipulation, loneliness, and fragmentation. The first encyclical of Pope Leo XIV, *Magnifica Humanitas*, is timely, serving as a wake-up call to all humanity to pause and reflect on the grandeur of humanity amid technological advancement. The question is how technological progress can serve as an instrument of integral human development rather than a force that gradually diminishes the value of the human person. To illustrate the systemic choice facing global society today, *Magnifica Humanitas* employs the scriptural contrast between the Tower of Babel and the Holy City. The Tower of Babel represents a project of self-assertion, technical domination, and forced uniformity, a society that sacrifices individual dignity for collective efficiency and technological supremacy. Conversely, the Holy City represents a community built through divine assistance and humble human effort, grounded in justice, dialogue, diversity, and shared responsibility (Leo XIV, 2026).

Theological Foundations of Human Dignity

Human dignity occupies a central place in Christian theological anthropology. From a Christian perspective, the dignity of the human person is not derived primarily from social status, intellectual capacity,

economic productivity, physical strength, technological achievement, or political recognition. Rather, it is rooted in the very mystery of creation and redemption. Every human being possesses inherent and inviolable worth because God creates them, bears the *imago Dei* (image of God), is called into communion with God, and is embraced by God's saving love, revealed definitively in Jesus Christ. Human dignity, therefore, is not something that human beings manufacture or confer upon one another; it is a gift received from the Creator and a vocation to be lived in relationship with God, others, and creation.

Human Being Created in the Image of God

The foundational Biblical affirmation of human dignity is found in the creation narrative: Then God said, "Let us make humankind in our image, according to our likeness" (Gen 1:26). The following verse reinforces this truth: "So God created humankind in his image, in the image of God he created them; male and female he created them" (Gen 1:27). The doctrine of the *imago Dei* provides the fundamental theological basis for affirming the dignity of every human person (*Dignitas Infinita*, 2024). The human being is not merely another biological organism or an accidental product of evolutionary processes. The person possesses a unique relationship with the Creator. To be created in God's image means that human beings have a unique capacity for truth, freedom, love, moral responsibility, relationship, and communion. The Biblical text also establishes the universality of human dignity. The image of God is not restricted to a particular race, nation, social class, gender, intellectual ability, or religious community. Every human person is created in God's image. Consequently, the dignity of the human person is universal and cannot legitimately be denied based on difference. This theological foundation has profound implications for contemporary society. In an age increasingly influenced by artificial intelligence, biotechnology, genetic engineering, and digital technologies, the Christian understanding of the *imago Dei* provides a critical reminder that human worth cannot be reduced to intelligence, efficiency, data, or performance. A person is valuable not because of what they can produce, but because of who they are before God.

Human Dignity as the Gift of the Creator

The Christian understanding of dignity begins with the conviction that human life is fundamentally a gift. The human person exists because God has freely willed their existence. The Book of Psalms expresses

this sense of wonder: “I praise you, for I am fearfully and wonderfully made” (Ps 139:14). This vision challenges every attempt to measure human worth according to external criteria. In contemporary culture, individuals are often valued according to productivity, wealth, professional success, physical appearance, intelligence, or technological competence. The theological vision, however, reverses this logic. The worth of the human person precedes achievement. Human beings do not first become worthy and then receive dignity; they possess dignity from the beginning of their existence. This principle is especially significant when considering those who are vulnerable: the unborn child, the elderly, persons with disabilities, the poor, migrants, refugees, victims of violence, and those who are socially marginalised. From a theological perspective, their dignity remains intact even when their social or economic power is diminished. The Second Vatican Council articulates this principle in *Gaudium et Spes*, affirming that the human person is “the only creature on earth that God has willed for its own sake” (*Gaudium et Spes*, 1965). This profound statement indicates that the human person cannot be treated merely as a means to another end. Every person possesses an intrinsic value that demands respect.

Dignity of the Human Person Revealed in the Incarnation

The Christian understanding of human dignity reaches its fullest expression in the mystery of the Incarnation. The Gospel of John proclaims: “And the Word became flesh and lived among us” (Jn 1:14). In Jesus Christ, God does not remain distant from humanity. The eternal Word enters human history, assumes human nature, and shares the concrete realities of human existence. The Incarnation thus reveals both God’s love for humanity and the extraordinary value of human nature. The Second Vatican Council expresses this truth with remarkable clarity: “For by his incarnation the Son of God has united himself in some fashion with every man” (*Gaudium et Spes*, 1965). This statement provides one of the deepest theological foundations for human dignity. Christ’s identification with humanity means that the human person must always be viewed in light of the mystery of Christ. Every human life has a value that cannot be exhausted by biological, psychological, social, or economic categories. The Incarnation also transforms the way Christians understand solidarity. If Christ has entered into the human condition, then Christians are called to recognise Christ’s presence in the dignity and suffering of every person. The words of Jesus in Matthew 25, “Just as you did it to one of

the least of these ... you did it to me” (Mt 25:40), establish a profound connection between love of God and respect for the human person. Thus, the dignity of the human person is not merely an abstract philosophical principle. It becomes a concrete ethical responsibility. To respect the dignity of another is, in a profound sense, to encounter Christ.

Human Dignity and the Redemption of Humanity

Christian anthropology does not stop with creation; it is completed in redemption. Humanity, wounded by sin, is not abandoned by God. The Christian faith proclaims that God enters into human history to restore and elevate humanity through Christ’s death and resurrection. The human person, therefore, possesses dignity not only as a creature but also as one who is called to salvation. Saint Paul writes: “For you have died, and your life is hidden with Christ in God” (Col 3:3). Through baptism, the human person is incorporated into Christ and becomes a participant in divine life. This gives human dignity a profoundly eschatological dimension. The ultimate destiny of the human person is not merely earthly existence but communion with God. This perspective protects human dignity from reductionism. The human person is more than a worker, consumer, citizen, biological organism, or digital identity. The person is a mystery whose ultimate meaning is found in God.

Human Dignity and the Trinitarian Understanding of the Person

The Christian understanding of God as Trinity also illuminates human dignity. God is not a solitary existence but an eternal communion of Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. If human beings are created in the image of this Triune God, then relationality belongs to the very nature of the human person. Human dignity is therefore not understood through radical individualism. The person is fulfilled through relationships of love, solidarity, friendship, family, community, and service. The human person is both unique and relational. This theological perspective challenges contemporary forms of individualism that define freedom as absolute autonomy. Christian anthropology understands authentic freedom not as freedom *from* others but as freedom *for* love and communion. As *Gaudium et Spes* states, “the human person ... can fully discover his true self only in a sincere giving of himself” (*Gaudium et Spes*, 1965). This insight has significant implications for the digital age. Technology can connect people across geographical boundaries, but a digital connection does not

automatically produce authentic communion. Human dignity requires relationships characterised by presence, empathy, responsibility, and love. The theological vision of the person, therefore, invites society to move beyond technological connectivity toward genuine human communion.

Human Dignity, Freedom, and Moral Responsibility

Human dignity is inseparable from human freedom. Because the human person is created in the image of God, they possess the capacity to know truth and freely choose the good. Freedom is therefore not merely the ability to choose between alternatives. In Christian theology, authentic freedom is the capacity to live according to truth and to orient one's life toward the good. Jesus declares: "You will know the truth, and the truth will make you free" (Jn 8:32). This connection between truth and freedom is especially important in an era characterised by misinformation, manipulation, algorithmic influence, and digital surveillance. If freedom is detached from truth, it can become vulnerable to manipulation. The theological vision insists that authentic freedom must respect the truth about the human person and the moral order. Human dignity also entails responsibility. The biblical account of creation presents humanity as entrusted with the care of the earth (Gen 2:15). Human beings are therefore not absolute owners but stewards. Their freedom is relational and responsible: toward God, other human beings, and creation.

Dignity of Human Person and the Common Good

The theological affirmation of human dignity naturally leads to the concept of the common good. Since every person is created in God's image, society must be organised in a manner that enables all persons to flourish. The common good cannot be reduced to economic prosperity or political stability. It includes the social conditions that allow individuals and communities to develop fully. It requires respect for fundamental rights, justice, peace, solidarity, and the protection of the vulnerable. The principle of human dignity, therefore, provides the foundation for the Church's social teaching. The principles of solidarity, subsidiarity, participation, and the common good are all ultimately rooted in a Christian vision of the person. In this perspective, social structures must always serve the person and not the other way around. Economic systems, political institutions, educational structures, and technological developments must be evaluated according to their contribution to integral human development.

Human Dignity and the Preferential Concern for the Vulnerable

A theological understanding of human dignity is particularly tested by the way society treats its weakest members. The biblical tradition repeatedly presents God's special concern for the poor, the stranger, the widow, the orphan, and the oppressed. Jesus identifies his mission with the proclamation of good news to the poor and liberation to the oppressed (Lk 4:18–19). The Beatitudes place the poor, the meek, the merciful, and those who hunger for justice at the centre of God's Kingdom (Mt 5:1–12). Consequently, a Christian defence of human dignity cannot remain theoretical. It must become a commitment to social justice. The dignity of the human person demands the rejection of poverty, exploitation, discrimination, human trafficking, violence, war, and every form of exclusion. In the contemporary digital context, this also raises questions about the digital divide. If access to education, employment, healthcare, and social participation increasingly depends on technology, then unequal access to digital resources can become a new form of exclusion. A theology of human dignity, therefore, calls for technologies that serve inclusion and integral human development rather than deepen existing inequalities.

The theological foundations of human dignity can therefore be summarised in a single Christian vision: the human person is created by God, bears the image of God, is called to communion with God, is redeemed in Christ, and is destined for eternal life. Consequently, every human being possesses an intrinsic, inviolable, and universal dignity that no individual, institution, society, or technology has the authority to remove.

The Christian understanding of human dignity offers an important alternative to contemporary reductionist visions of humanity. In a world increasingly shaped by artificial intelligence, biotechnology, consumerism, and digital technologies, there is a growing temptation to define the human being in terms of efficiency, productivity, intelligence, data, or economic value. Christian theology resists such reductionism by affirming that the deepest truth of humanity is relational and transcendent.

The dignity of the human person ultimately rests in the mystery of God's love. As *Gaudium et Spes* teaches, Christ “fully reveals man to himself” (*Gaudium et Spes*, 1965). In Christ, humanity discovers not only its origin but also its destiny. The human person is revealed as one

who is called to truth, freedom, love, communion, service, and transcendence.

The theological foundations of human dignity provide more than a theoretical framework. They offer a vision for education, spirituality, social justice, technology, and human development. They invite humanity to rediscover that the grandeur of the human person lies not simply in what human beings can achieve, but in who they are before God and in the capacity to love and give themselves for others.

The Digital World: Opportunity and Challenge

A Christian reflection on digital culture must avoid both technological pessimism and technological utopianism. Technology is one of the remarkable expressions of human creativity. Digital technologies have expanded access to education and information, connected families separated by distance, created new forms of employment and communication, supported healthcare and scientific research, and enabled people with disabilities to participate more fully in society. Digital technology can also serve pastoral and spiritual purposes. It can bring Scripture, theological resources, formation programmes, prayer, and community to people who might otherwise have little access to them. During periods of crisis and geographical separation, digital communication can sustain relationships that would otherwise be interrupted. Artificial intelligence may further assist education, research, medicine, communication, accessibility, and many forms of professional work.

The problem, therefore, is not technology itself. The deeper problem is technology without adequate ethical and anthropological direction. Technology becomes problematic when efficiency takes precedence over dignity, when profit takes precedence over the common good, when surveillance takes precedence over privacy, or when convenience takes precedence over human relationships. Pope Francis warned against a technocratic paradigm in which technological power becomes the dominant framework for interpreting reality (*Laudato Si'*, 101–136). Technological capacity is not automatically equivalent to human progress. Progress becomes authentic only when it contributes to the integral flourishing of people and communities (Francis, 2015).

The digital environment also presents psychological challenges. Human attention has become a valuable commodity. Notifications, endless scrolling, recommendations, and social feedback can

encourage continual engagement. The result can be fragmentation of attention and difficulty with silence and sustained reflection. These concerns are particularly significant for young people. Adolescence is a period of identity formation, emotional development, social comparison, and increasing independence. Social media can provide friendship, creativity, a sense of belonging, and opportunities for self-expression. However, it can also expose young people to cyberbullying, harmful content, social comparison, and unhealthy forms of external validation (American Psychological Association, 2023). The appropriate response is neither to condemn digital technology nor to leave young people to navigate it alone. What is required is accompaniment and formation. Young people need adults who can help them understand not only how technology works but also how technology affects their minds, relationships, values, and spiritual lives.

Integral Human Development in the Digital Age

The concept of integral human development provides one of the most important criteria for evaluating the digital revolution. Pope Paul VI described authentic development as development of “the whole man and of every man” (Paul VI, 1967). Development, therefore, cannot be reduced to economic growth, technological innovation, or material prosperity. A human being can possess extraordinary technological resources and yet experience loneliness. A society can have advanced digital infrastructure and yet suffer profound inequality. A person can have access to unlimited information and yet lack wisdom.

A community can be permanently connected and yet lose the capacity for genuine encounter. Integral development embraces the whole person: physical, intellectual, emotional, social, moral, cultural, and spiritual. This perspective is particularly important because digital technologies tend to privilege what can be measured. Speed, efficiency, engagement, productivity, and performance can easily become dominant cultural values. However, many of the most important dimensions of human life cannot be reduced to measurable outcomes. Values such as love, friendship, genuine relationships, divine presence, forgiveness, and human suffering cannot be measured. The human person remains a mystery. Consequently, the digital transformation must be placed within a broader vision of integral development.

Psychological Development: Developing the Capacity for Self-Regulation

Digital maturity begins with personal development. The digitally mature individual is not simply someone who knows how to use technology but someone who can use technology without becoming controlled by it. This requires self-awareness, emotional regulation, resilience, self-control, and the capacity to establish healthy boundaries between digital engagement and other dimensions of life.

Digital environments are deliberately designed to capture attention and encourage continued engagement. Notifications, recommendations, social comparison, gaming mechanisms, and rapidly changing content can make it difficult for individuals to regulate their attention and behaviour. Research on social media use indicates that self-regulation is an important factor determining whether digital engagement contributes to well-being or becomes a source of distraction, goal conflict, and diminished well-being (Reinecke et al., 2022). More recent developmental research likewise argues that digital self-regulation should be understood not simply as restricting use of technology but as developing the capacities through which individuals learn to regulate their behaviour across different digital contexts (Wang, 2026).

Self-awareness is therefore fundamental. Individuals need to recognise why they reach for a device, what emotions they experience when using digital media, and whether their digital behaviour aligns with their deeper goals and values. For example, a person may need to ask: *Am I using this technology for learning, communication and creativity, or am I using it primarily to escape boredom, loneliness, anxiety or emotional discomfort?*

Emotional regulation is equally important. Digital platforms can intensify emotional reactions through constant exposure to comparison, criticism, conflict, disturbing news, or carefully curated images of other people's lives. Psychological maturity involves the ability to pause before reacting, tolerate frustration, manage disagreement, and avoid allowing transient digital emotions to determine one's behaviour.

Resilience is another essential dimension. Digital maturity involves learning how to cope with rejection, criticism, misinformation, cyberbullying, social comparison, and online failure without allowing

these experiences to undermine one's sense of personal worth. Healthy boundaries are also necessary: boundaries concerning screen time, sleep, work, study, recreation, privacy, and interpersonal relationships.

This does not mean that all intensive digital use is pathological. The World Health Organisation, for example, distinguishes ordinary gaming from gaming disorder, which involves impaired control, increasing priority given to gaming over other activities, and continuation despite significant negative consequences (World Health Organisation, 2020). The broader principle is therefore not simply about using *less technology*, but about more intentional and self-regulated technology use.

Psychological development ultimately enables the individual to move from reactive use to intentional use: technology becomes something a person chooses according to legitimate purposes rather than something that automatically governs attention, emotion, and behaviour. A digitally mature person needs self-awareness, emotional regulation, resilience, healthy boundaries, and the ability to resist compulsive behaviour.

Social Development: From Virtual Connectivity to Genuine Communion

Digital transformation has radically expanded the possibilities for communication and social participation. People can maintain relationships across geographical boundaries, participate in communities of interest, access educational networks, collaborate professionally, and engage in civic life. However, the existence of a digital connection does not automatically guarantee genuine human relationships. Social development requires more than being connected; it requires belonging, participation, solidarity, empathy, reciprocity, and the capacity to encounter others as persons. The Council of Europe, therefore, approaches digital citizenship not simply as a matter of technical competence but as a holistic formation involving being online, well-being online, and rights and responsibilities online.

The distinction between connection and communion is particularly important. A person may have hundreds of online contacts while experiencing profound loneliness. Digital communication can facilitate relationships, but it can also encourage superficial interaction, social comparison, polarisation, exclusion, and the replacement of face-to-face encounters with mediated interactions. Research on digital well-

being similarly suggests that individual self-regulation alone is insufficient; attention must also be paid to how technologies structure relationships and connect people (Dennis & Ziliotti, 2023). Consequently, digital formation should encourage individuals to develop the capacity to listen, empathise, collaborate, forgive, negotiate differences, and remain present to others beyond the screen. Digital platforms should serve as bridges to the community rather than substitutes for it.

This has particular significance for education. Students should not merely learn how to search for information or communicate through digital platforms. They should also learn to participate responsibly in communities, respect diverse viewpoints, protect others' dignity, resist cyberbullying and online exclusion, and contribute constructively to public discourse. The Council of Europe identifies values such as human dignity, human rights, cultural diversity, democracy, justice, equality, responsibility, empathy, and critical thinking as important dimensions of digital citizenship. Thus, social maturity in the digital age involves learning to ask not merely, "What can I post?", but "How will my communication affect the dignity and well-being of others?"

Digital transformation becomes genuinely humanising when technology strengthens relationships, participation, solidarity, inclusion, and the common good. People need genuine belonging, community, participation, solidarity, and relationships that extend beyond virtual interaction.

Moral Development: Forming Responsible Digital Citizens

Digital technologies have created an environment in which individuals can exercise enormous influence with very little effort. A message can reach thousands of people within seconds; artificial intelligence can generate persuasive content; personal data can be collected and analysed at an unprecedented scale; and algorithms can influence what people see, believe, and choose. Consequently, digital competence without ethical formation is insufficient.

Moral development concerns the formation of conscience and the capacity to distinguish what is technically possible from what is morally desirable. Individuals need to develop responsibility, truthfulness, justice, respect, accountability, and concern for the consequences of their digital actions. This includes learning to distinguish reliable information from misinformation, respecting

privacy, obtaining consent, acknowledging intellectual property, avoiding plagiarism, rejecting cyberbullying, and refusing to engage in manipulation or deception of others.

UNESCO's *AI Competency Framework for Students* is a particularly relevant contemporary framework. It identifies four dimensions of competence: a human-centred mindset, ethics of AI, AI techniques and applications, and AI system design. Importantly, UNESCO places values, human rights, critical judgment, privacy, transparency, accountability, and responsibility alongside technical knowledge and skills. This represents an important shift in educational thinking. The central question is no longer, *Can the learner use artificial intelligence?* but also, *Can the learner use it responsibly, critically, ethically, and for the benefit of human beings?*

Moral development also requires the formation of a digital conscience. Before forwarding information, individuals should ask whether it is true. Before posting an image of another person, they should ask whether they have the right to do so. Before using generative AI to complete an academic task, they should ask whether doing so violates academic integrity. Before designing a technological system, developers should consider who might benefit, who might be excluded, and whether the system could cause harm.

Benedict XVI's reflection on technology remains particularly relevant: technological progress can become problematic when attention is given exclusively to the question of "how" something can be done while neglecting the deeper question of "why" it should be done. Moral development, therefore, provides the ethical compass that digital competence requires. It transforms the user from a technology consumer into a responsible digital citizen. Individuals must learn responsibility, truthfulness, respect, justice, and concern for the consequences of their digital actions.

Spiritual Development: Recovering Meaning, Transcendence and Discernment

The deepest dimension of integral development concerns the person's search for meaning, purpose, transcendence, conscience, and relationship with God. Digital technologies can provide enormous amounts of information, entertainment, communication, and stimulation, but they cannot by themselves answer the fundamental questions of human existence: *Who am I? What is the purpose of my*

life? What is worth living for? What kind of person should I become? What is truth? What is my relationship with God and with others?

Spiritual development is therefore not an optional addition to digital competence. It provides a deeper horizon within which technological possibilities can be evaluated.

For a Christian understanding of integral development, the human person cannot be reduced to productivity, consumption, performance, data, or technological capability. Paul VI's vision of "full-bodied humanism" explicitly connects authentic human development with openness to God and transcendence (Paul VI, 1967). Benedict XVI likewise maintains that development must include the spiritual dimension of the human person and cannot be satisfied by material or technological advancement alone.

In the digital environment, spiritual maturity requires the recovery of silence and interiority. Constant notifications, continuous entertainment, rapid information flows, and permanent connectivity can make it difficult for individuals to remain quiet, reflective, and attentive to their inner life. The capacity to disconnect temporarily from digital stimulation can therefore become a means of reconnecting with oneself, with others, with creation, and with God.

Prayer, meditation, contemplation, examination of conscience, spiritual reading, and discernment can provide spaces in which individuals learn to distinguish between what is merely attractive and what is genuinely good. Such practices cultivate an interior freedom that enables people to resist the pressure to follow every trend, desire, notification, or algorithmic recommendation. This does not imply rejecting technology. On the contrary, technology can support spiritual development through access to Scripture, theological resources, online communities, spiritual education, prayer resources, and opportunities for evangelisation. The challenge is to ensure that technology serves spiritual life rather than replacing it.

Pope Francis's *Laudato Si'* offers a useful theological framework for this discernment. While recognising technology as an expression of human creativity, it warns against a technocratic paradigm in which technological power becomes detached from ethical and human purposes. Technology should instead contribute to a healthier, more human, social, and integral form of progress (Francis, 2015).

This concern is even more explicit in the contemporary discussion of artificial intelligence. Pope Leo XIV's teaching situates digitalisation, AI, and robotics within the broader framework of integral human development, emphasising that technological innovation can promote participation and justice but can also deepen inequality, exclusion, or forms of technological domination (Leo XIV, 2026).

Spiritual maturity, therefore, enables a person to move from information to wisdom, from stimulation to contemplation, from autonomy to responsibility, and from technological power to Spiritual development. People need meaning, transcendence, silence, prayer, conscience, discernment, and openness to God. Integral development, therefore, requires a balance between digital competence and human maturity.

Towards a Humanising Digital Culture: Living the Vision of *Magnifica Humanitas*

The vision of *Magnifica Humanitas* should not remain a theoretical reflection. It invites a way of living. The first requirement is discernment. The Christian response to technological change cannot be based on fear. Nor can it be based on unquestioning enthusiasm. The appropriate response is thoughtful discernment. Discernment means learning to distinguish what is genuinely life-giving from what merely appears attractive or efficient. Various dimensions of this reflection ultimately converge in one conviction: Technology must serve the human person, and human development must remain open to transcendence. A humanising digital culture would be one in which technology contributes to truth rather than manipulation, communion rather than isolation, freedom rather than dependence, inclusion rather than exclusion, and integral development rather than merely economic productivity (Leo XVI, 2026).

From Technological Power to Responsibility

The digital revolution has given humanity enormous power. However, power requires responsibility.

The fact that something can be technologically accomplished does not necessarily mean it should be done. Such a culture requires a new form of digital responsibility. Parents have a responsibility to accompany children. Educators have a responsibility to form critical and ethical intelligence. Pastoral leaders have a responsibility to engage the digital environment creatively. Governments and institutions have a

responsibility to protect dignity, privacy, justice, and the vulnerable. Technology developers have a responsibility to consider the human consequences of their innovations. Moreover, every individual has a responsibility to examine how digital practices shape their own lives. The question should always return to the person: Does this technology help me to become more human?

The Christian tradition places freedom and responsibility together. Genuine freedom is not simply the ability to choose; it is the capacity to choose what is good. This principle becomes especially significant in an environment designed to influence attention and behaviour. Digital maturity requires interior freedom, the ability to pause, reflect, resist, and choose.

The Spirituality of the Magnificat

The very title *Magnifica Humanitas* invites a deeper reflection on Mary's Magnificat. "My soul magnifies the Lord" (Luke 1:46). Mary's greatness is not based upon power, technological achievement, or social status. Her greatness is found in openness to God, humility, courage, attentiveness, and service. The Magnificat offers a spirituality capable of responding creatively to the digital age. It teaches wonder rather than domination, humility rather than technological pride, service rather than self-promotion, solidarity rather than exclusion, and hope rather than anxiety. The Magnificat also directs attention toward the poor and marginalised. This is particularly significant in a digital world where technological benefits are unevenly distributed. To live *Magnifica Humanitas*, therefore, means learning to recognise the greatness of every human person, especially those whom technological systems can easily overlook.

Education as the Key

If technology is transforming culture, education must become one of the primary means through which that culture is humanised. Education in the digital age cannot be limited to technical competence. It must move from digital literacy to digital wisdom. Digital literacy asks: How do I use this technology? Digital wisdom asks: How should I use it, and what kind of person am I becoming through its use? This requires a broader educational vision. Young people need to learn how algorithms function and how information is produced. They need the ability to distinguish reliable information from misinformation. They need to understand privacy, data, online manipulation, cyberbullying, and digital responsibility. However, they also need to learn how to

manage attention, relationships, emotions, and desires. Most importantly, they need spaces where they can experience silence, reflection, prayer, nature, community, service, and face-to-face relationships (Leo XVI, 2026).

The educator, therefore, has a role that cannot be replaced by artificial intelligence. AI can provide information. The educator forms wisdom. AI can generate responses. The educator helps the learner ask meaningful questions. AI can imitate conversation. The educator teaches authentic encounter. AI can personalise learning. The educator accompanies the person. This is particularly important for institutions dedicated to formation. Schools, universities, seminaries, formation centres, religious communities, and pastoral institutions must help people develop an integrated relationship with technology. Education must form persons who can use technology without becoming controlled by technology. Educators are called to a responsibility that goes beyond the work contract: their witness has the same value as their lessons. For this reason, the formation of teachers, scientific, pedagogic, cultural and spiritual is decisive (Leo IV, 2025).

From Digital Connection to Human Encounter

Perhaps the deepest challenge of digital culture is the distinction between connection and communion. A person may have hundreds of online contacts and still experience loneliness. A family may communicate throughout the day through messages and yet have difficulty sitting together without screens. A young person may receive countless digital reactions and still feel unseen. Digital connection can be valuable, but it does not automatically create communion. Christianity is fundamentally a religion of encounter. The Word became flesh. Jesus touched the sick, listened to the suffering, ate with sinners, welcomed children, accompanied his disciples, and entered into the concrete realities of human life. Christian faith is therefore profoundly embodied. This means that digital technology should serve human encounters rather than replace them. A video call can bridge geographical distance. A digital message can communicate affection. Online communities can offer support. However, human presence has dimensions that technology cannot fully replicate. The human person needs presence.

The Spirituality of Presence

Presence is increasingly becoming a spiritual discipline. To be present means to listen without distraction. It means allowing another person

to speak without immediately reaching for a device. It means accompanying suffering without trying to escape into digital entertainment. It means allowing silence to exist. It means giving another person the experience of being genuinely seen and heard. In this sense, presence is an expression of love. St. John Bosco based his pedagogical method, the *Preventive System*, on Presence. A quality presence of the educator among the young forms their hearts and prevents them from evil (Bosco, 1887). Loving and joyful presence in the family, community and society is formative in itself.

Reclaiming Silence

The digital age makes silence increasingly difficult. There is always another notification, message, video, post, or piece of information. However, Christian spirituality has always recognised silence as a space for encountering God. Jesus himself withdrew from the crowds to pray (Luke 5:16). The prophet Elijah encountered God not in the dramatic manifestations of wind, earthquake, and fire, but in the “sound of sheer silence” (1 Kings 19:12). Silence is therefore not emptiness. It is a condition for attentiveness. A spirituality for the digital age must rediscover the value of sacred pauses. Not every moment needs to be filled. Not every experience needs to be photographed. Not every thought needs to be posted. Not every question requires an immediate answer. Sometimes we become more fully human when we stop, listen, and become present.

Conclusion

Digital transformation is ultimately a question about humanity itself. While artificial intelligence and technology shape our future, progress, connectivity, and efficiency do not automatically yield wisdom, communion, or human flourishing. Technology must remain a servant rather than a master. *Magnifica Humanitas* reminds us that the human person is greater than data, productivity, or any algorithm. Grounded in discernment, spirituality, and responsibility, the Church is called to accompany humanity through technological change, affirming what technology cannot provide: the meaning of existence, the gift of relationship, and the hope of transcendence. Ultimately, the goal is not to create a humanity that is merely more digital, but to build a more human digital world. *Magnifica Humanitas* invites us to ensure that technological innovation serves as an instrument of dignity, fraternity, justice, and peace.

References

- American Psychological Association. (2023). *Health advisory on social media use in adolescence*.
- Benedict XVI. (2009). *Caritas in veritate: Encyclical letter on integral human development in charity and truth*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Bosco, G. (1877). *Il sistema preventivo nell'educazione della gioventù*. Torino: Tipografia e Libreria Salesiana.
- Council of Europe. (2019). *Digital citizenship education handbook: Being online, well-being online, and rights online*. Council of Europe Publishing.
- Dennis, M., & Ziliotti, E. (2023). Living well together online: Digital wellbeing from a Confucian perspective. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 40, 263–279.
- Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith & Dicastery for Culture and Education. (2025). *Antiqua et nova: Note on the relationship between artificial intelligence and human intelligence*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith. (2024). *Dignitas infinita: Declaration on human dignity*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Francis. (2015). *Laudato si': On care for our common home*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Francis. (2020). *Fratelli tutti: On fraternity and social friendship*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Leo XIV (2025). *Drawing new maps of hope: Apostolic Letter on the occasion of the 60th Anniversary of the Conciliar Declaration Gravissimum educationis*, Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Leo XIV (2026). *Magnifica humanitas: Encyclical letter on safeguarding the human person in the time of artificial intelligence*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Miao, F., Shiohira, K., & Lao, N. (2024). *AI competency framework for students*. UNESCO.
- Paul VI. (1967). *Populorum progressio: Encyclical letter on the development of peoples*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.

- Reinecke, L., Gilbert, A., & Eden, A. (2022). Self-regulation as a key boundary condition in the relationship between social media use and well-being. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 45, 101296.
- Second Vatican Council. (1965). *Gaudium et spes: Pastoral constitution on the Church in the modern world*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana.
- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other*. Basic Books.
- Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. Penguin Press.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. UNESCO.
- Wang, M.-T. (2026). Developing digital self-regulation in adolescence: A developmental self-determination model of digital self-regulation. *Educational Psychology Review*, 38, Article 86.
- World Health Organisation. (2020). *Addictive behaviours: Gaming disorder*. World Health Organisation.