Journal of Dharma 50, 2 (April–June 2025), 197–210

CROSS-CULTURAL MORAL ECOLOGY IN SPORTSMANSHIP: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE *BHAGAVAD GITA* AND THE *ANALECTS*

QIANG LIU, YINGYUAN ZHANG, HONG ZHANG, XIN SHENG & CHUNYING ZHENG♦

Abstract: This article compares the *Bhagavad Gita* and philosophy in the *Analects* from a cross-cultural perspective in relation to ecology and sportsmanship to demonstrate how both texts can theorize the notion of balance, harmony and interconnectedness in sports. The *Bhagavad Gita* is concerned with three environmental constituents, namely: *karmayoga*, *dharma* and *samatva*. Where the *Analects* stresses on *ren*, *li* and *yi*. In addition, this paper examines the divinity and philosophy of these ideas through the ecological viewpoint by using Susan Bassnett's (1993) theory of comparative literature to identify the intersection of these traditions and locate and seek harmony and balance. Moreover, the research indicates that social virtue must be

♦**Qiang Liu** is from College of Physical Education and Health, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology

Yingyuan Zhang is from College of Physical Education and Health, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology. E-mail: emma_230@163.com.

Hong Zhang is from College of Physical Education and Health, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology.

Xin Sheng is from College of Physical Education and Health, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology.

Chunying Zheng is from College of Physical Education and Health, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology.

***This research is supported by Science Research Project of Hebei Education Department (No. QN2025535); Scientific Research Foundation of Hebei Normal University of Science and Technology (No. 2025YB001).

accompanied by the spiritual disconnection as one of the ethical principles that must be developed by athletes and coaches to favor clean, humble and respectful sportsmanship. The study is driven by the fact that sport is an ecological laboratory to build a universal ethical discourse, which evokes conscience and compassion. The paper concludes that both traditions are eco-conscious, wherein self-discipline, reciprocity and equilibrium are bound together and provide trans-civilizational ethic of responsibility and restraint which resonates with the ecological consciousness of the present and evolution of sustainable virtue.

Keywords: *Balance, Co-existence, Convergence, Comparative Literature, Cross-Culture, Ethics, Ecology, Harmony, Moral Ecology, Sportsmanship, The Analects, The Bhagavad Gita*.

1. Introduction

Ecology refers to the study of relations between living organisms and their environment (Kumar, 314-322). It is, however, not limited to biology, but embodies philosophical issues of coexistence, equilibrium and restraint, to characterize civilizations and cultures (Mohanty, 10-13). This ecological concept is similar to moral and spiritual harmony in the civilizations like India and China. Scholars like Pramanik and Sarkar (2018) confirm that ecological order, like the social ethics, is the interdependency of people, nature and the divine, which is the result of the relationship between all the creatures in the common space of existence (251-256).

As we know, sportsmanship is not confined to sports alone or big stadiums, but rather, it includes equity, honesty, discipline and non-domination, which are ingrained within the framework of spiritual and ecological logic. Besides codes of ethics, we have sportsmanship, which can be considered an ecological philosophy that develops an individual while preserving the health of the community and nature. According to Arnold (1997), sport is the mirror of the moral values of society (242). The concept of the mirror in an ecological sense extends to the non-human world, where cooperation, moderation and reciprocity resemble the

virtues that are present in nature. Therefore, the ethics of human beings are reflected in the spirit of sports, as well as in the principles of ecology that ensure existence.

The influence of sportsmanship on social life highlights how virtue, discipline and personal choice shape the well-being of our world. It also suggests that these qualities can function as a cultural laboratory, enabling meaningful moral and ecological experimentation. Yet the crucial question remains: how can one nurture and embody an eco-ethical spirit of sportsmanship? In a broader sense, both ethics and ecology form the foundational pillars of any social system. These pillars are continually tested within the arena of sport, where integrity and fairness are essential, even as systemic pressures often challenge them. As Simon et al. (2014) observe, fair play and the spirit of the game are not peripheral moral ideals but central ones (23). In an increasingly globalized world, the intertwining of morality, ecological awareness, and cultural sustainability has made the integration of ethical practice and intercultural exchange indispensable in sport.

This research contributes to cross-cultural ecological discourse by examining two classical texts—the *Bhagavad Gita* and the *Analects*—to illuminate how Eastern moral traditions can inform contemporary ecological ethics and emerging environmental challenges. Both texts emphasize self-cultivation, responsibility, and harmony that extend beyond individual well-being to encompass social and ecological balance. Deepak et al. (2025) interpret *Karmayoga* as action undertaken not for personal gain but in alignment with the broader cosmic order (1–10). In the Bhagavad Gita (6:5), self-mastery is expressed through the injunction: "One should uplift the self by the self, and not allow the self to sink; the self alone is the friend of the Self, and the self alone is its enemy" (Mitchell, 89). This principle of internal discipline—guiding the mind toward moral awareness—creates an inner ecology of harmony. Similarly, verse 2:47 articulates the essence of dharma (duty): "You have a right to your actions, but never to the fruits of your actions. Act for the action's sake; do not be attached to inaction" (Mitchell, 54). This teaching embodies

selfless action and detachment, reinforcing personal integrity while supporting ecological balance. Pramanik and Sarkar (2018) further note that the moral framework of the *Bhagavad Gita* integrates the five elements of nature as components of cosmic equilibrium (251–256), and verse 2:48 calls for conduct grounded in balance and equanimity (Mitchell, 55).

The *Analects* likewise presents a vision of ecological morality. In 4:17, Confucius teaches: "When you meet a person of virtue, reflect on how you may become his equal; when you encounter someone lacking virtue, examine yourself" (53). As Jiyuan Yu (2007) explains, *ren* (benevolence) forms the core of human relationships and self-cultivation (212). Confucius also articulates the proper roles within the family and the state: "Let the ruler be a true ruler, the subject a true subject, the father a true father, and the son a true son" (186)—a principle that Wing-Tsit Chan (1963) interprets as the responsible fulfillment of each role necessary to sustain moral and social order (37). Furthermore, *Analects* 1:12 emphasizes that harmony (*hé*) is not uniformity but the coordination of diverse elements, a notion that resonates with the ecological idea of interdependence (Chan, 9). In this sense, the *Bhagavad Gita* and the *Analects* both portray a synthesis of inner and outer ecologies—one emphasizing self-discipline and duty, the other highlighting social appropriateness and harmonious coexistence.

The missing link in the existing literature is to relate these Eastern moral viewpoints and the contemporary sports ethics in a cross-cultural ecological context. Western ethical models, which include Aristotelian virtue ethics, Kantian duty and utilitarianism, are predominant in the discussion and fail to acknowledge non-Western traditions that may contribute to the moral and ecological equilibrium (Reid, 26). Western virtue ethics concentrates on character and rational duty, whereas the *Bhagavad Gita* concentrates on *dharma* (duty), *karmayoga* (selfless action), and inner discipline as a way of self-regulation in the ecology (2.47). On the same note, Confucianism emphasizes moral order based on the principles of *ren* (benevolence), *li* (propriety), *yi* (righteousness), *zhi* (wisdom), and *xin* (faithfulness) (Burgess,

2024). These ideals encourage harmony between human beings and nature.

It has been repeatedly demonstrated that ancient ecological philosophies remain profoundly relevant in addressing contemporary challenges such as ethical competition, stress, anxiety and environmental responsibility. This study argues that the *Bhagavad Gita's* "inner ecology" of self-discipline and the *Analects'* "outer ecology" of relational balance together form a unified, cross-cultural ecological philosophy of coexistence—one that bridges moral and natural systems. The harmony they promote rests on the same triad that sustains moral order: discipline, responsibility and relational awareness. Viewed together, the *Bhagavad Gita* and *the Analects* offer complementary insights that contribute to a holistic vision of sustainable coexistence at both moral and ecological levels.

2. Morality, Ecology and Sports: A Cross-Cultural Perspective

Ethics in sport has long been a subject of scholarly interest, engaging questions of moral reasoning, fair play and athlete character. Simon et al. (2014) identify fair play as the ethical core of sport, arguing that it is the competitive process—rather than the pursuit of victory—that truly tests one's integrity (44–47). Contemporary debates continue to evolve, with recent scholarship suggesting that moral character in sport is not innate but can be cultivated through reflective practice. Following a Kantian perspective, Das et al. (2024) contend that competitors must treat one another as moral agents rather than as means to an end, recognizing each participant as an equal partner in the pursuit of excellence (766–768). Dura et al. (2020) similarly observe that sportsmanship and fairness are deeply embedded within Western moral philosophical traditions (766–769). Despite the dominance of Western ethical frameworks—utilitarianism, deontology and virtue ethics—Milanovic et al. (2021) point out that integrity campaigns in sport often overlook non-Western moral systems (16–32). This imbalance highlights the need for a broader, cross-cultural methodology that incorporates diverse ethical traditions to enrich our understanding of motivation,

discipline and morality in competitive contexts.

In this regard, *the Bhagavad Gita* offers one of the most coherent non-Western accounts of ethical action, centered on *dharma* (duty), *karmayoga* (selfless action) and *samatva* (equanimity). In the midst of moral crisis, Krishna instructs Arjuna to detach from the outcomes of his actions and focus instead on intention—asserting that one has a right to action but not to its fruits, and must remain free from attachment to results (2.47; Mitchell, 54). Bhattacharjee (2021) interprets *karmayoga* as practiced detachment: wholehearted engagement in action without fear of consequences (152–160). This counsel parallels contemporary sports philosophy, which encourages athletes to value integrity, discipline and the process itself over the singular pursuit of victory. In addition, the balance of mind—equanimity (*samatva*) is also essential. 'The *Gita* teaches to carry out duty (*dharma*) without effort: "Do your duty with equipoise; without attachment to success or failure" (2.48; Mitchell, 55). The competitor who races with a calm and focused mind, as opposed to obsession, is the one who portrays this spiritual composure. Within this moral ecology, sport becomes a space for self-control, modesty and self-knowledge. *Dharma*, therefore, is not a mandate to conquer but a moral discipline that guides the athlete to act with integrity and regulate the self with purpose and restraint.

In Confucian philosophy, *ren* (benevolence) represents the full acknowledgment of the other, expressed socially through compassion and respect. The virtues of *li* (propriety), *yi* (righteousness), *zhi* (wisdom), *xin* (faithfulness) and *ren* collectively form the path of moral self-cultivation directed toward social harmony. For Confucius, morality is not an abstract principle but a relational practice rooted in reciprocal roles and responsibilities. Rather than appealing to law or punishment, he promotes right conduct through mutual obligations and moral example. Confucian virtue ethics thus prioritizes moral leadership and self-restraint in contexts typically driven by hierarchy and dominance. Here, competition is not a pursuit of conquest but an opportunity to cultivate shared virtue and mutual respect. When each participant fulfills one's role with

propriety, harmony emerges within the group.

As Susan Bassnett (1993) describes, comparative literature examines relationships across cultural boundaries (1–11). Through this perspective, *the Bhagavad Gita* and *the Analects* can be read as cultural texts articulating moral systems grounded in ecology, balance and social responsibility. Both traditions emphasize self-mastery and relational responsibility, offering ethical frameworks that transcend individualism. The inner harmony advocated in *the Bhagavad Gita* complements the relational harmony of Confucian ethics, together humanizing competition by shaping sport into a respectful and morally attuned practice. Viewed comparatively, sport becomes a moral and ecological microcosm—a space where fairness, cooperation and restraint operate as the same virtues that sustain natural systems. As in both traditions, the athlete seeks a balance between personal aspiration and collective welfare. This ethical foundation naturally extends to the ecological realm, where all systems thrive through harmony and interdependence.

3. Ecological Order in the *Gita* and the *Analects*

Extending the moral–ethical discussion, an ecological perspective reveals how both traditions conceptualize balance as an interdependent system. Ecologists such as Fritjof Capra (1996) and Arne Naess (1989) argue that the stability of living systems depends on interconnectedness and cooperation (Capra, 124; Naess, 68–78). Similarly, classical Indian and Chinese philosophies envision moral life as the harmonious interaction of forces—a view that parallels ecological understanding of *homeostasis* as a dynamic equilibrium sustained through reciprocity and feedback (Tucker and Berthrong, 1–21). Interpreted ecologically, *the Bhagavad Gita* and *the Analects* present two complementary systems of order: the Indian model of cosmic reciprocity expressed through *dharma* and *karmayoga*, and the Confucian model of relational harmony articulated through *ren*, *li* and *yi*. Both oppose domination and instead promote mutual adjustment and self-limitation as foundational principles of equilibrium.

In *the Bhagavad Gita*, *karmayoga*—action undertaken with full engagement yet without possessiveness—is articulated in the teaching: "You are entitled to your actions, but never to the fruits of your actions. Perform action for its own sake" (2.47; Mitchell, 54). This expresses a principle akin to ecological non-appropriation, where energy flows through systems without hoarding or excess. Krishna's moral counsel further carries an ecological tone: a self-imposed restraint against overexploitation of oneself or the environment. This ethic of disciplined action aligns with the resilience required in ecological systems and can be understood as a form of "metabolizing ethics," rooted in active yet restrained engagement.

In Confucian thought, *li* (propriety) functions as a systemic regulator—establishing social roles and behavioural patterns that maintain relational balance, much like feedback mechanisms stabilize balance within an ecosystem. The sense of interconnectedness embodied in *ren* (benevolence) and *yi* (righteousness) fosters responsiveness and compassion without generating harmful interference. Together, these virtues establish an ecology of interaction in which each person fulfils their role with awareness of others, and harmony arises through conscious, adaptive modification (Li, 423–435). Within this relational ecology, diversity and responsiveness are understood as sources of resilience. Confucius captures this insight in the maxim: "The gentleman seeks harmony but not uniformity; the petty man seeks uniformity but not harmony" (*Analects* 13.23; Chin, 213). Harmony emerges not from sameness but from coordinated diversity—an idea echoed in the *Bhagavad Gita* through the doctrine of *samatva* (2.48; Mitchell, 55). Likewise, *dharma*—right action—can be interpreted as ecological fidelity, meaning that each being fulfils its proper function rather than encroaching upon another's. When Arjuna fears destruction, Krishna reorients him to a wider ecological and cosmic framework: the stability of the whole depends on each part performing its role without self-interest. This reflects the ecological principle that systems endure when components operate within the limits of their functions (cf. Pramanik and Sarkar, 251–256).

Confucius' doctrine of the rectification of roles (*Analects* 12.11; Chin, 186) similarly embodies an ecological principle. It is not authoritarian but functional: roles correspond to responsibilities, and coherence arises when each fulfils its task appropriately. Disorder emerges when roles collapse or overreach—just as ecosystems falter under invasive species or human excess (cf. Tucker and Berthrong, 26–29). Modern ecological thinkers also emphasise self-limitation and responsive feedback as the foundation of sustainability (cf. Capra, 298–304; Naess, 71–73). This ideal is mirrored in *atmavinigraha* (self-control): "One should raise the self by the self; the self is the friend of the Self and its enemy" (*Bhagavad Gita* 6.5; Mitchell, 89). Such internal restraint mirrors ecological restraint, where organisms regulate their impulses to avoid damaging the system. In Confucian ethics, *li* (ritual propriety) plays the same role, cultivating balance through disciplined practice. Both traditions warn that disorder stems from ego-driven excess—greed, domination or exploitation—which in ecological terms parallels the breakdown caused by failed feedback loops.

In this context, both traditions offer a proto-ecological vision of sustainability: a world governed not by accumulation or domination but by rhythm, reciprocity and mutual adjustment. Together, their teachings construct a comprehensive model of relational ecology—uniting self-mastery, moral order and environmental harmony. Just as the human body maintains *homeostasis*, societies and ecosystems must practice restraint and cooperation to sustain balance. The inner ecology of energy and self-regulation (*Bhagavad Gita* 6:5–6; 3:30; 4:13) complements the outer ecology of social relationships (*Analects* 1:2; 4:15; 12:22). In short, they articulate a cross-cultural ethic of coexistence, discipline and ongoing harmony, blurring any strict boundary between moral and ecological philosophy (*Bhagavad Gita* 5:18; *Analects* 13:23). These same principles extend to the domain of sport, where self-control, duty, fairness, equity and respect underpin both moral excellence and ecological sustainability. Though expressed through different idioms and cultural notions, both traditions converge on a shared ideal of life grounded in

restraint, reciprocity and mutual respect (*Bhagavad Gita* 6:16; *Analects* 12:1). Their combined vision calls for a trans-civilizational sustainability ethic—a moral ecology in which obligation, harmony and compassion guide not only the athlete on the field but also the citizen and the global community in the larger "game" of life.

4. Comparative Ecology and Ethics

Comparative literature is not merely the study of texts across cultures; it is a sustained inquiry into the interactions, exchanges, and communicative processes that nurture intellectual ecosystems. Susan Bassnett (1993) describes it as an intercultural and interdisciplinary practice that reads texts within the living networks of language, history, and place (1–12). Like organisms embedded in environments, texts evolve as they interact with new contexts, generating meaning through adaptation and reciprocal transformation. From an ecological perspective, comparative literature constitutes a system of interdependence through which ideas circulate across borders. Ursula K. Heise (2006) traces how ecocriticism expanded from Romantic nature-writing into a global environmental discourse integrating scientific realism and cultural constructivism (503–516). Likewise, Huggan and Tiffin (2015) argue for a postcolonial ecocriticism linking ecological domination with cultural oppression, positioning literature as a *locus* where environmental and social justice intersect (1–15).

Viewed ecologically, Bassnett's model resembles a comparative ecology—an adaptive system in which texts occupy shared habitats, interacting much like species within an ecosystem. *The Bhagavad Gita* and *The Analects* exemplify such a relationship: two ecologies of mind, one shaped by Indian metaphysics and the other by Chinese relational ethics, yet both committed to universal harmony. Their dialogic structures function as feedback loops in which balance is restored through reflection—whether between Krishna and Arjuna or Confucius and his disciples. Bassnett further notes that comparative literature flourishes at border-crossings (111–119), much like biodiversity thrives in ecotones. The *Gita*'s ecology of self-

discipline and the *Analects*' ecology of social harmony engage in a productive cross-fertilization: not a synthesis of doctrines, but a knowledge economy, a literary ecosystem governed by balance and feedback (cf. Zapf, 136; Clark, 97). Here, environment is reflected within literature—not only in natural settings but through the symbiosis of intertextuality. Read together, the two works reveal a shared ethical inquiry: how to coexist in a world defined by change. The *Gita* offers a vertical ecology (2:47; 3:19; 18:66), linking individual duty to the cosmic order; the *Analects* presents a horizontal ecology (4:16; 12:1; 13:23), rooting ethical life in social relationships. Their intersection—between universal duty (*dharma*) and relational propriety (*li*)—creates an ethical ecotone where systems complement and enrich one another (cf. Bassnett, 128–130; Zapf, 139–140; Coupe, 23–25).

Both texts dramatize the conflict between ambition and order. Kurukshetra symbolizes the struggle between personal desire and collective well-being (1:28–30; 2:6; 3:30), while Confucius's dialogues portray cycles of ethical decline, renewal and regeneration (4:5; 6:17; 11:26), echoing ecological succession (cf. Buell, 39; Clark, 112). In each case, dialogue becomes the means of moral realignment. Contemporary scholarship increasingly interprets these traditions as cultural ecologies of equilibrium—adaptive, flexible systems rather than rigid dogmas. Their enduring relevance lies in the ability of their key concepts—harmony, moderation, reciprocity—to be reinterpreted across eras and translations, reflecting humanity's ongoing need for coexistence (cf. Huggan & Tiffin, 17; Damrosch, 103). Thus, comparative literature becomes both a method of reading and an ecology of thought, where philosophical systems survive through contact, translation and hybridization.

Bassnett also emphasizes that comparison across linguistic borders constitutes a dynamic intercultural process. Texts function as moral and philosophical systems in conversation with one another. Though she argues that the discipline has declined in the West, she observes its growth globally due to the need to move beyond Eurocentrism and confront the myth of the Other (3–10). The comparative method therefore demands contextual

sensitivity—situating literature within its own moral and historical conditions while fostering parity among traditions. Within Bassnett's framework, the encounter between these texts forms a meeting ground where complementary visions of ethical discipline emerge, grounded in integrity, balance and moderation—virtues foundational to moral and athletic excellence. Literature, in this sense, becomes an ecology of ethics, where values are shaped through discourse and reinterpretation.

5. Conclusion

The *Bhagavad Gita* and *Analects* advance an ethic of interdependence, moderation, and peace that shapes moral life, ecological balance, and athletic conduct. Both traditions view self, society, and nature as linked systems sustained by restraint and fidelity to one's role. The Gita's *dharma*, *karmayoga*, *samatva*, and *ātma-vinigraha*, together with Confucian *ren*, *li*, and *yi*, form a cross-cultural grammar of harmony for renewing sportsmanship. Arjuna's crisis at Kurukshetra reveals the tension between impulse and the common good; Krishna's *karmayoga* teaches disciplined, non-attached action—an inner ecology mirrored in athletes who must regulate desire and remain composed under pressure. Confucian ethics cultivate balance through *ren* (empathetic regard), *li* (boundaries and ritual order), and *yi* (context-sensitive judgment), forming a moral ecology parallel to natural systems. The Analects' call for each to "be what one is" becomes an ethic of niche fidelity, while harmony (*he*) means coordinated difference rather than uniformity. Applied to sport, these values turn competition into ethical practice: *li* ensures dignity, *yi* restrains unfair advantage, and *ren* affirms the opponent's humanity. Aligned with the Gita's emphasis on disciplined, non-attached action, true sportsmanship emerges as self-mastery, integrity, and balanced participation rather than domination.

The inner discipline of the *Gita* paired with the outer reciprocity of the *Analects* generates a planetary ethic of coexistence. Ecologies of compassion emerge from *dharma* aligned with *ren*; protocols of sustainability from *li* and *yi*; restorative

action from *karmayoga*—right work performed for the continuity of the system rather than immediate gain. Confucian models of decentralised and relational leadership mirror ecological governance within teams and institutions. The *Gita*'s meditative discipline adds reflective balance, transforming training itself into a moral workshop. Though expressed indifferent idioms, the *Gita* and the *Analects* teach a common lesson: The highest victory—whether in life, sport or nature—is integrity with the larger system to which one belongs.

REFERENCES

Arnold, P.J. "Sport as a Valued Human Practice." *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 26/2, 1992: 237–255.

Bassnett, S. *Comparative Literature: A Critical Introduction*. Blackwell, 1993.

Bhagavad Gita. Translated by Stephen Mitchell, Harmony, 2000.

Bhattacharjee, G. "Karamyoga in Bhagavad Gita and Contemporary Time." *Advances in Sciences and Humanities*, 7/4, 2021: 152–160.

Buell, L. *The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture.* Harvard University Press, 1995.

Capra, F. *The Web of Life: A New Scientific Understanding of Living Systems.* Anchor Books, 1996.

Chan, W. *A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy.* Princeton UP, 1963.

Clark, T. *The Cambridge Introduction to Literature and the Environment.* Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Confucius. *The Analects of Confucius (Lunyu).* Translated by Annping Chin, Penguin Books, 2014.

Coupe, L. ed. *The Green Studies Reader: From Romanticism to Ecocriticism*. Routledge, 2000.

Damrosch, D. *What is World Literature?* Princeton, 2003.

Das, et al. "Ethics of Competition in Sports: A Kantian Perspective on Fair Play and Sportsmanship in Physical Education." *International Journal of Novel Research and Development*, 9/4, 2024: 766–768.

Deepak et al. "The Principles of Dharma and Sustainable Development: Lessons from the *Srimad Bhagavad Gita*." *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7/2, 2025: 1-10.

Dura, et al. "Systematic Review of Research on Fair Play and Sporting Competition." *Sport, Education and Society*, 2020: 766–769.

Garrard, G. *Ecocriticism.* 2nd ed., Routledge, 2012.

Heise, U.K. "The Hitchhiker's Guide to Ecocriticism." *PMLA*, 121/2, 2006: 503–516.

Huggan, G. and Helen T. *Postcolonial Ecocriticism: Literature, Animals, Environment.* Routledge, 2010.

Kumar, A. "Brief Introduction of Environment, Ecology and Environmental Pollution." *Inspira: Journal of Modern Management & Entrepreneurship (JMME)*, 8/1, 2018: 314–322.

Li, C. "The Philosophy of Harmony in Classical Confucianism." *Philosophy Compass*, 3/3, 2008: 423–435.

Milanovic, et al. "Ethics and Sports, Ethics in Sports, Sports Ethics –Aspects of Consideration of Different Authors." *Physical Education and Sport Through the Centuries*, 8/2, 2021: 16–32.

Mohanty, S. "The Importance of Studying Ecology." *Research & Reviews: Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, 5/2, 2017: 10-13.

Naess, A. *Ecology, Community and Lifestyle: Outline of an Ecosophy.* Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Pramanik, J. and Bijan S. "Environment and Environmental Awareness in the Bhagavad Gita." *IJRAR*, 5/4, 2018: 251–256.

Reid, H. L. *The Philosophical Athlete.* Carolina Academic Press, 2002.

Simon, et al. *Fair Play: The Ethics of Sport.* 4th ed., Routledge, 2014.

The Bhagavad Gita. Translated by Stephen Mitchell, Harmony Books, 2000.

Tucker, M.E. and John B. *Confucianism and Ecology: The Interrelation of Heaven, Earth, and Humans.* Harvard University Press, 1998.

Yu, J. *The Ethics of Confucius and Aristotle: Mirrors of Virtue.* Routledge, 2007.

Zapf, H. *Literature as Cultural Ecology: Sustainable Texts.* Bloomsbury Academic, 2016.