

CLIMATE CHANGE AS AN APPEAL TO CHANGE THINKING AND MENTALITY

Inocent Mária V. Szaniszló, OP♦

Abstract

As climate change has become a visible factor not only for scientists but also for the majority of the population. It will be necessary to find effective forms for a suitable solution to the problem. Some moralists claim that ecological problems have emerged, mainly due to the loss of the theological sense of creation. The biblical message says that man is the *oeconomus* (administrator) of the planet Earth and not its absolute owner. At this point, we must realize that the environment is a continuation of the human body, and therefore it must relate to its love for itself and for its neighbours. Despite the blockade caused by the coronavirus Covid-19, the issue of the environment and the need for systemic change in society and the individual is constantly at the forefront of political as well as ecclesiastical debate. After the Second Vatican Council, the Roman Catholic Church also took an active part in changing people's thinking about nature. The present Pope, Francis, five years ago published in his encyclical *Laudato si'* a serious appeal to world society. For this reason, in our paper we will try to point out possible solutions to the issue.

Keywords: Common Home; Common Good; Ecology; Environmental Ethics; Responsibility for Future Generations

♦Fr Prof. Dr Dipl.-Ing. Inocent-Mária Vladimír Szaniszló OP, PhD (1962) was professor of Catholic theology in Slovakia and since October 2017 Senior Lecturer for Human Rights and Ethics at Pontifical University of Saint Thomas Aquinas (Angelicum) in Rome, Italy. He studied theology at the Faculty of Theology in Palacky University Olomouc; had Dissertation in moral philosophy *Les réflexions théologiques sur les pensées d'Hannah Arendt*, started at the University of Fribourg in Switzerland, and defended under the guidance of Professor Eberhard Schockenhoff at the Albert Ludwig University in Freiburg im Breisgau in Germany. He started as assistant at Catholic University of Ružomberok, Faculty of Theology in Košice and founded Spesz's institute of applied ethics. He was vice-rector for science and international relations at the University Danubius in Sládkovičovo, Slovakia. Email id: szaniszl@pust.it

One of the leaders of the change of mindset in connection with the climate changes of our planet is the current pontiff of the Catholic Church, Pope Francis. Not everyone agrees with his direction, which leads the so-called boat of St Peter, but I guess we agree that his attitude to nature is a very important characteristic of Catholic personnel ethics in the face of a society that discards significant amounts of waste and thus pollutes our common planet. Of course, this topic is not new, but for a very long time it does not seem to be important for the common good of man. Professor Sgreccia says that the progress, pursued in order to obtain every time better and better living conditions, has paradoxically led to opposite results, leading to such radical changes in the natural environment that it has led to conditions that are sometimes dangerous to health and pose the problem of the survival of the entire human species.¹

Recapitulation of Important Milestones on the Road to Environmental Protection

A fundamental contribution to the understanding of this reality has been made by the term *ecology*. This term was introduced by the German biologist and naturalist Ernest Haeckel in 1866 to indicate the “study of the relations between organisms and their environment.” In recent decades, ecology has highlighted the fact that the focus of environmental problems has been on natural habitat management that has not been set correctly according to rationality criteria. In 1969 the United States proclaimed the environment as a new central problem of humanity (R. Nixon) and in 1974 American philosopher William Blackstone taught about Philosophy and the Environmental Crisis. In 1979 came a first Centre for Environmental Philosophy at the University of North Texas (Environmental Ethics). The cultural context of the development of Environmental Philosophy was the emergence of bioethics, understood as a new form of reflection on scientific and technological progress. With the advent of the post-industrial technological society, bioethics has set itself as the discipline that must contribute to make humanity’s life and health compatible with the cultural product that humans themselves built.²

After the Second Vatican Council, Pope Paul VI repeatedly expressed concern about the environment in the context of real human progress, and here for the first time the phrase “revival of

¹Elio Sgreccia, *Manuale di bioetica. Volume II. Aspetti medico-sociali*, Milano: Vita e Pensiero, 2011, 707.

²Sgreccia, *Manuale di bioetica. Volume II. Aspetti medico-sociali*, 708.

ecological conscience” appears in his Message on the UN 1972.³ In the international conference about environment in the same year he said: “Man and his environment are inseparable. All technical measures remain ineffective unless accompanied by an awareness of the need for a radical load of mentality ... according to the requirements of true ethics.”⁴ It is in this combination of human and his/her change of behaviour that theology has a broad field of application, as we will see in Pope Francis’ most recent documents.

Another very important theological point of view notes that as nature does not originate from man, but from the Creator, it cannot be managed in a despotic way. This raises a very significant a question: *at what point can a modification of nature be considered legitimate?* Sgreccia notes that man, once he has satisfied the primary necessities inherent in his own survival, has the right to improve his living conditions as long as he always takes into account the intrinsic limits of nature itself. Man must overcome the temptation of omnipotence (deriving from the vast apparatus of technical-scientific knowledge) in order to avoid the risk of being destroyed by what he himself has discovered or invented. We must remember that modification to natural reality will only be lawful if they bring benefits to present generations and do not harm the future one.⁵

John Paul II also worked very actively on this change of mentality. In his address to the United Nations on 18 August 1985, he pointed out that environmental programmes to ensure food and shelter are concrete ways of *promoting peace*. As far as the man-creator relationship is concerned, he says that the peaceful use of space promotes the unification of the human family and that only an attitude of respect can correct the damage caused to the environment. He repeated it in the Message for a World Day for Peace in 1990 entitled “Peace with God Creator, peace with all created.” He pointed out that we need a change of mentality and customs: “Modern society will find no solution to the ecological problem unless it *takes a serious look at its lifestyle... An education in ecological responsibility is urgent: responsibility for oneself, for others, and for the earth.*”⁶

³ Paolo VI, “*Le preoccupazioni ecologiche e le esigenze del reale sviluppo*,” in *Insegnamenti di Paolo VI*, X, Città del Vaticano: Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 1972, 606–611.

⁴ Paolo VI, “*Le preoccupazioni ecologiche e le esigenze del reale sviluppo*,” 608.

⁵ Sgreccia, *Manuale di bioetica. Volume II. Aspetti medico-sociali*, 727.

⁶ John Paul II, *Message of His Holiness Pope John Paul II for the celebration of the World Day of Peace*, 1990, accessed June 10, 2020, http://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/messages/peace/documents/hf_jp-ii_mes_19891208_xxiii-world-day-for-peace.html, 13.

Further, “the environment, animate and inanimate, has a decisive influence on man’s health.”⁷

Also in the new millennium, the Roman Catholic Church feels that the environment issue needs urgent attention. For example, among modern sins described by Archbishop Gianfranco Girotti for the daily newspaper *L’Osservatore Romano*, there is also *environmental pollution*, and in particular the release of poisons into nature.⁸ This sin also derives in reality from pride and lack of humility. Because of globalization, this “modern sin” is also becoming a global problem. Even in this area it seems that only a truly well-formed conscience of the individual will be able to resist the idea that nature must also obey the laws of the market.

And finally on May 17, 2020, the Holy Father Francis at *Regina Coeli* announced the week dedicated to *Laudato si’*⁹ which ended on following Sunday, commemorating the fifth anniversary of the publication of his Encyclical letter about Environment. Then from May 24, many initiatives will mark a year dedicated to the care of the common home.¹⁰

Ultimately, the inter-dicasterial table of the Holy See presented on 31 May 2020 a document on integral ecology, five years after the publication of *Laudato si’* called: *Path to the Care of the Common House*:

In this difficult time, which will be destined to change the societies in which we live—the reference to the pandemic in progress—we are called to take care of one another, not to close ourselves off in selfishness, to promote and defend human life from its emergence to its natural completion, to offer adequate medical care for all, to foster international solidarity, to combat the culture of waste, to study, to build together new, more equitable economic and financial systems, to commit ourselves to dialogue, peace, and the rejection of violence and war.¹¹

⁷John Paul II, *Address of His Holiness Pope John Paul II to Conference on Environment and Health*, Monday, 24 March 1997, accessed June 10, 2020, http://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/speeches/1997/march/documents/hf_jp-ii_spe_19970324_ambiente-salute.html, 1.

⁸Nicola Gori, “Le nuove forme del peccato sociale, *L’Osservatore Romano*, March 9, 2008, 8, http://www.webdiocesi.chiesacattolica.it/ci_new/documenti_diocesi/218/2008-03/10-28/Rassegna%20stampa%2010%20marzo%202008.html.

⁹Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si’*, 24 May 2015, accessed June 10, 2020, http://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/encyclicals/documents/papa-francesco_20150524_enciclica-laudato-si.html.

¹⁰Debora Donnini, “Anno Speciale dedicato alla *Laudato si’*. Il Papa: più forza alla cura del Creato,” accessed June 10, 2020, <https://www.vaticannews.va/it/vaticano/news/2020-05/anno-anniversario-speciale-laudato-si.html>.

¹¹Tavolo interdicasteriale della Santa Sede sull’ecologia integrale, *In cammino per la cura della Casa Comune. A cinque anni dalla *Laudato si’*. Celebrazione del V Anniversario*

So we can see on the part of the Roman Catholic Church a fundamental effort to conduct a dialogue, to maintain peace and to form a new lifestyle.

The Proximity of Environmental and Human Ecology

Therefore, many theologians repeat that human's living space on our globe today is in such a crisis, that it cannot be managed by technical means, but first *by changing man's mentality towards his surroundings*.¹² This statement calls us to repentance. In a broader sense, the social property of all of us is the whole world as the living space of all humanity for the preservation of the life of creation from the beginning, that is, from the birth of life as such. To this we could add recycling and waste problems which Pope Francis calls *the throwaway culture* (e.g. the increase in technology and the rapid replacement of devices, which again result in heavy metal waste above the permitted limit, etc.).¹³ Despite these transgressions, however, attention needs to be paid to so-called *ecological resources*, which make basic foodstuffs more expensive and even more poverty and hunger in the world (for example, the problem of rapeseed fuel production has made many foods more expensive in the world). Fitte adds that ecology refers to the good management of the environment, the home and the environment of all human beings.¹⁴ This is an important part of consumer ethics.¹⁵ But the relationship between ecology and economy is very topical today and is a rivalry between economic efforts and ecological considerations. Ethics has a clear objective: in addition to the economy, the state must also pay attention to ecology, including on an international scale (clean energy sources). Moral education also includes a preference for products that are less affordable but less

dell'Enciclica *Laudato si'*, Citta di Vaticano: Libreria editrice Vaticana, 2020, 6 <https://www.olir.it/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/In-cammino-per-la-cura-della-casa-comune.pdf> and see also Bollettino, Sala Stampa della Santa Sede, *Resignations and Appointment*, 18.6.2020: <http://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/pubblico/2020/06/18/200618a.html>.

¹²Comp. Jiří Skoblík, *Přehled křesťanské etiky*, Praha: Karolinum, 1997, 238.

¹³Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 16. For example, also the increase in technology and the rapid replacement of devices, which only involve waste above the permitted limit of heavy metals, etc., see Pavel Baroch, "Kde končí zlato z mobilních telefonů? Na skládkách," *Aktuálně.cz*, April 13, 2008: <https://zpravy.aktualne.cz/domaci/kde-konci-zlato-z-mobilnich-telefonu-na-skladkach/r~i:article:601340/>.

¹⁴Hernán Fitte, *Teológia a spoločnosť. Prvky sociálnej morálnej teológie*, Bratislava: Lúč, 2007, 118.

¹⁵Comp. Karl- Heinz Peschke, *Hospodářství z křesťanského pohledu*, Praha: Česká křesťanská akademie, 1996, 40.

harmful to the environment. Better air, sea and forests are more precious than more luxury in clothing, food, housing or tourism¹⁶. According to Fitte, exactly the loss of a sense for *the theology of creation* causes ecological problems.¹⁷

To the criticisms of the consumer society we need to note that not only can a person in capitalism be alienated, but also a person who seeks only pleasure. A person who only cares about pleasure cannot be free. As St John Paul II pointed out, obedience to the truth allows man to organize his desires and how to satisfy them in the right hierarchy, so *property becomes a means of growth for him*.¹⁸ Therefore, it is not the desire for a better life that is wrong, but a way that pretends (man) to be better when he prefers to “have” over “to be.”¹⁹

The entire fourth chapter of *Caritas in Veritate*, the encyclical of Pope Benedict XVI, deals with the rights and obligations in the development of nations, with particular regard to environmental issues. The Pope points out that individual human rights, if relieved of their corresponding duties, can “go mad” and create a “spiral of conditions that lose their boundaries and deviate from all criteria.”²⁰ At this point, Benedict calls for morally responsible responsibility for life as a social and economic good. In order for the market to be humanized, the Pope emeritus supports the variability of both profit and non-profit-oriented institutional forms (this also applies to environmental protection). Finally, Benedict supports the responsible treatment of nature, which is only possible on the basis of a holistic view of nature, which protects people from self-destruction: “Nature is not available to us (St. John Paul II), but it is a gift from the Creator who gave it internal rules.”²¹ And he repeats after the teaching of his predecessor that peace in the world therefore also has an ecological dimension.

This is how we can see that environmental ecology and human ecology appear as two sides of the same coin. Due to Wyróstkiewicz, human ecology is a theory which—referring to various sciences and

¹⁶Bernhard Sutor, *Politická etika*, Praha: Oikoumene, 1996, 237.

¹⁷Comp. Fitte, *Teológia a spoločnosť. Prvky sociálnej morálnej teológie*, 118.

¹⁸ John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Centesimus annus*, 01. 05. 1991, http://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/it/encyclicals/documents/hf_jp-ii_enc_01051991_centesimus-annus.html, 41.

¹⁹See John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Centesimus annus*, 36.

²⁰ Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, http://www.vatican.va/content/benedict-xvi/it/encyclicals/documents/hf_ben-xvi_enc_20090629_caritas-in-veritate.html, 43.

²¹Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 48.

opinions – protects the person from various reductionism. The theory allows noticing the natural dependencies which link people to their environment. The essential thesis of this concept is to value society as an important element of the natural human environment.²² And pope emeritus says that

If nature and especially man are seen as the fruits of chance or evolutionary determinism, the awareness of responsibility in consciousness will become increasingly weaker. On the contrary, the believer recognizes in nature the miraculous work of God's creative intervention, which man can use responsibly.²³

The Problem of the Anthropocentric Objections in the Biblical Message

Skoblík significantly points out the modern and frequent remorse for the mistaken view of the Judeo-Christian tradition with a strong (unique) anthropological thinking as the cause of the current environmental crisis.²⁴ Strong anthropocentric man subjugates animals and plants. He has no respectful relationship with them and all he needs is just to use them. Critics further stress that in contrast to the Hindu or Indian tradition, Holy Scripture dedicates less love to animals and subhuman life. After strong criticism of White,²⁵ who claimed that the Bible was responsible for man's domination of nature, several theologians vehemently opposed this argument. The defence was based on the fact that in Genesis we have two traditions. In addition to Gen 1:26-28, there is also a Yahwistic version, where man is the custodian of the garden. And from the origins of the Church there have been theological components that have proclaimed respect for creation as a *Gift from God*, especially from St Francis of Assisi and his followers.²⁶

However, the problems of animal rights and animal care are already occupied by St Thomas Aquinas in the chapter on cruelty, which passes from the treatment of the animal to the human sphere (this argument appears in other scholastics as well as in I. Kant).²⁷ Finally, Fitte also argues that the biblical message indicates man as a creature among other creatures. According to

²²Michał Wyrostkiewicz, *Human ecology*, Lublin: Wydawnictwo KUL, 2013, 93.

²³Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 48.

²⁴Comp. Skoblík, *Přehled křesťanské etiky*, 239-240.

²⁵Comp. Lynn White, "The Historical Roots of Our Ecological Crisis," *Science* 155 (1967) 1203-1207.

²⁶Sgreccia, *Manuale di bioetica. Volume II. Aspetti medico-sociali*, 729.

²⁷Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologiae* I-II 102, 8, 6, accessed June 10, 2020, <https://www.newadvent.org/summa/2102.htm>; cf. Peschke, *Křesťanská etika*, 659.

this message, man is *the administrator* of the earth and not the absolute owner.²⁸

Pope John Paul II says very significantly:

As one called to till and look after the garden of the world (cf. Gen 2:15), man has a specific responsibility towards the environment in which he lives, towards the creation which God has put at the service of his personal dignity, of his life, not only for the present but also for future generations. It is the ecological question-ranging from the preservation of the natural habitats of the different species of animals and of other forms of life to 'human ecology' properly speaking (*Centesimus annus*, 38)—which finds in the Bible clear and strong ethical direction, leading to a solution which respects the great good of life, of every life. In fact, 'the dominion granted to man by the Creator is not an absolute power, nor can one speak of a freedom to use and misuse,' or to dispose of things as one pleases. The limitation imposed from the beginning by the Creator himself and expressed symbolically by the prohibition not to 'eat of the fruit of the tree' (cf. Gen 2:16-17) shows clearly enough that, when it comes to the natural world, we are subject not only to biological laws but also to moral ones, which cannot be violated with impunity (*Sollicitudo Rei Socialis*, 34).²⁹

Nevertheless, the real emphasis on creation is brought only by Pope Francis and his encyclical *Laudato si'*. Not only did Pope Francis invent new ideas on the subject, but his urgent style and way of leading the Church led to the Church today being at the forefront of changing the mindset of society and the individual as a result of the union of ecology and theology, but also science that claims to offer solutions to major issues should necessarily take into account everything that knowledge has produced in other areas of knowledge, including philosophy and social ethics.³⁰ Here Francis affirms that other creatures must be respected for their values in themselves and not just for utilitarian reasons.³¹

Speaking about anthropological error, Pope Francis says that criticism of deviant anthropocentrism should not even overshadow

²⁸ Comp. Fitte, *Teológia a spoločnosť. Prvky sociálnej morálnej teológie*, 119. The relationship between man and nature in the Czech environment is analysed in depth by Josef Petr Ondok, *Člověk v přírodě. Hledání etického vztahu*, Kostelní Vydří: Karmelitánské nakladatelství, 1998.

²⁹ St John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Evangelium vitae*, 42, http://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/encyclicals/documents/hf_jp-ii_enc_25031995_evangelium-vitae.html.

³⁰ Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 110.

³¹ Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 33, cf. Dermot A. Lane, *Theology and Ecology in Dialogue. The Wisdom of Laudato si'*, Dublin: Messenger Publications, 2020, 101.

the value of relationships between people. If the ecological crisis is an emergence or an external manifestation of the ethical, cultural and spiritual crisis of modernity, we cannot delude ourselves that we are restoring our relationship with nature and the environment *without restoring all fundamental human relationships*. When Christian thought claims a particular value for the human being above other creatures, it gives space to the appreciation of every human person, and thus stimulates the recognition of the other.³² In this way, human ecology is transformed into integral development. These ideas form the framework of his last encyclical Letter *Fratelli tutti* of October 3, 2020.³³

The environment is an extension of the human body and must therefore relate to his love for itself and his neighbour. Man identifies not only with himself but also with *his home*. Peschke adds that recklessness towards nature precludes true charity. This Charity also includes solidarity with all that lives, but also respect for future generations. In this way, the environment has become a real problem through the development of science, technology and the industrialization of society. However, it is necessary to reflect on the fact that, as in the very ancient past, nature was heavily burdened (e.g. deforestation). This has only accelerated today with the help of the scientific and technical revolution.³⁴

The True Development of Man

Skoblík analyses the causes of the environmental crisis (including the 1970s) as follows: growing industrialization, population growth and human malnutrition, limited nature of raw material reserves and destruction of the environment. The Prague moralist emphasizes that it is not the task of the Church to give instructions to overcome this crisis, but Church can work in the field of education, that is:³⁵

drawing attention to the gravity of the situation (the kingdom of God begins here in this world).

seek a theological-sociological solution: instead of performance, thought and mentality of exploitation towards nature, more attention should be paid to *the expression of love for creation*, joy of nature, respect for an inferior form of life and the search for a lifestyle in nature. This will eliminate practical materialism and appreciate spiritual values that do not harm nature and do not burden future generations. From the point of

³²Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 119.

³³Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Fratelli tutti*, 34.

³⁴Cf. Peschke, *Křesťanská etika*, 642.

³⁵Comp. Skoblík, *Přehled křesťanské etiky*, 239.

view of today's message of Pope Francis especially in *Laudato si'*, these proposals sound almost prophetic.

Similar are Peschke's basic guidelines for environmental ethics:

love of nature, respect for nature, moderation, and self-limitation. In addition to these ideas, it sets out the specific requirements of this ethic, which include barriers to environmental responsibility, responsible management of natural resources, subordination of technology to all-encompassing good creation, moderation and critical approach to consumption, animal welfare and the importance of good legislation as a tool for nature conservation.³⁶ Although, despite these ideas, attention should be paid to *ecological resources* that make basic foods more expensive and world poverty and hunger even greater,³⁷ we must not forget as Christians the biblical vision that creation was born through the Word and not the result of materialistic determinism, so it is the work of Divine Intelligence endowed with infinite wisdom, imagination, beauty and goodness.³⁸

Saint John Paul II affirms that in relation to nature we are subject not only to biological laws but also to moral ones.³⁹ And Pope Francis adds that the technique separated from ethics will hardly be able to limit its own power.⁴⁰ Saint John Paul II, as Pope Francis today, speaks of the connection of the ecological question with consumerism, whose roots lie in anthropological error.⁴¹ However, there are both material and spiritual aspects of ecology (here the Pope speaks of protecting the conditions for "human ecology").⁴²

Today, the term climate change is very lively and discussed (and frightened). The term development (a progress) in *Caritas in Veritate* has a positive meaning, because it helps a person in an attitude of trust in their own abilities and in the abilities of others. With the help of these skills, it is possible to seek the good in freedom and

³⁶Cf. Peschke, *Křesťanská etika*, 649-50.

³⁷Comp. REPORT CTK (Czech Press Office), "*Vědci EU doporučili pozastavit biopaliva, komisař je podporuje*" (EU scientists have recommended the suspension of biofuels, the Commissioner supports them), Journal Novinky, April 13, 2008, <http://www.novinky.cz/clanek/137511-vedci-eu-doporucili-pozastavit-biopaliva-komisar-je-podporuje.html>.

³⁸Cf. Peschke, *Křesťanská etika*, 647.

³⁹John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Sollicitudo rei socialis*, 30 December 1987, http://www.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/it/encyclicals/documents/hf_jp-ii_enc_30121987_sollicitudo-rei-socialis.html, 34. It is interesting to note at this point Peschke's comment on negativity and denial, i.e. man's contribution to the extinction of certain animal species, see Peschke, *Křesťanská etika*, 648.

⁴⁰Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 136.

⁴¹See John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Centesimus annus*, 37-38.

⁴²Comp. Fite, *Teológia a spoločnosť. Prvky sociálnej morálnej teológie*, 121.

responsibility and achieve it step by step. Globalization therefore does not become a simple destiny, but a concrete task.⁴³ Benedict XVI stresses that the development of humanity (and, we add the emphasis on the protection of nature) is only possible if the human person is taken into account in all its dimensions. The truth in development derives only from its integrity: “if development does not concern the whole person and all persons, then it is not true development.”⁴⁴ However, this development will only happen in practice if it is based on the responsible freedom of individuals and nations: “No structure can guarantee this development if it leaves human responsibility aside.”⁴⁵ And finally he also writes that nature is the admirable work of the creator for a non-instrumental and arbitrary use.⁴⁶

Another very important factor is the relationship between *integral development and Common good*. Pope Francis teaches that Integral ecology is inseparable from the notion of Common good, a principle that plays a central and unifying role in social ethics.⁴⁷ This option requires drawing the consequences of the common destination of the goods of the earth, but he demands that we first of all contemplate the immense dignity of the poor in the light of the deepest convictions of faith. It is enough to observe reality to understand that today this option is *a fundamental ethical requirement for the effective realization of the common good*.⁴⁸ For poor countries, the priorities must be the eradication of poverty and the social development of their inhabitants; at the same time, they must examine the scandalous level of consumption of certain privileged sectors of their population and combat corruption more effectively. Of course, they must also develop fewer polluting forms of energy production, but for this they need to count on the help of countries that have grown a lot at the expense of the current pollution of the planet.⁴⁹

However, it is not possible that everyone can solve a situation as complex as the one facing the world today. Individuals can lose the ability and freedom to overcome the logic of instrumental reason and end up succumbing to a consumerism without ethics and without social and environmental sense. Social problems are responded to

⁴³Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 29; 34; 36.

⁴⁴Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 18.

⁴⁵Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 17.

⁴⁶Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 44.

⁴⁷Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 156.

⁴⁸Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 158.

⁴⁹Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 172.

with *community networks*, not with the mere sum of individual goods. The ecological conversion that is required to create dynamism of lasting change is also a *communal conversion*.⁵⁰ Francis adds that we need to feel again that we need each other, that we have a responsibility to each other and to the world that it is worth being good and honest. Already for too long we have been in moral degradation, making fun of ethics, goodness, faith, honesty, and the time has come to recognize that this cheerful superficiality has served us little. This destruction of every foundation of social life ends up by pitting us against each other in order to defend our own interests, provokes the emergence of new forms of violence and cruelty and prevents the development of a true culture of caring for the environment.⁵¹

Conclusion

We conclude that in the real and effective protection of the environment, it is necessary to focus not on the material but on man and his vocation and God, as Pope Benedict said, who wanted to connect man with his creation.⁵² At the same time, says our current pontiff Pope Francis, a superficial or apparent ecology is growing which consolidates a certain numbness and carefree irresponsibility. Ecological culture cannot be reduced to a series of urgent and partial responses to the problems that arise regarding environmental degradation, the depletion of nature reserves and pollution.⁵³ And Francis adds that it should be a different look, a thought, a policy, an educational program, a lifestyle and a spirituality that give form to a resistance in the face of the advancing technocratic paradigm.⁵⁴

Wyrostkiewicz notes that Human ecology not only points to the social nature of the human person, but also in an unequivocal way shows the need to maintain appropriate relationships with other people as a condition for obtaining integrated development, meaning the fulfilment of man as a person. Another important possibility is for him the fact that the bond of people to nature does not enter in only on the biological level, but also has a significance for the development of the spiritual life of person.⁵⁵

⁵⁰Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 219.

⁵¹Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si*, 229.

⁵²Benedict XVI, Encyclical Letter *Caritas in Veritate*, 29.

⁵³Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si*, 59.

⁵⁴Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si*, 111.

⁵⁵Michal Wyrostkiewicz, *Human Ecology*, 94.

That is why we think it is the reference to a true human ecology that is the way to dialogue with those who, in protecting the ecology of nature, are perpetrating damage to creation and its future. But, there can be no renewal of our relationship with nature without a renewal of humanity itself. There can be no ecology without an adequate anthropology and new lifestyle.⁵⁶

We must recognize nature in its value and take man's responsibility in this process particularly seriously.⁵⁷ At the same time, we must put pressure on the powerful of this world to take to heart the protection of the environment that enables us to begin to live the Kingdom of God in this world. Only human ecology together with integral development can protect nature. This integral development consists of solidarity in the field of biopolitics, human work and peace as a sign of justice and love. Of course, integral human development at personal, community and global level requires an essential prerequisite: the defence and promotion of human rights.⁵⁸

Nowadays, human ecology opposes the pessimistic and catastrophic ecological ideologies that elevate nature above man. And while their supporters claim to want to protect the environment, they are destroying human ecology. There is not only an ecosystem, man also has his "nature" and even social relationships, especially family relationships, which have a certain nature that must be respected. When the environment is damaged, it means that something has already been damaged in human ecology, in the functioning of social relations. Every wound in human ecology causes damage to the environment.

⁵⁶Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 118; 16; 22; 231; 57; 188.

⁵⁷Eberhard Schockenhoff, *Ethik des Lebens. Grundlagen und neue Herausforderungen*, Freiburg im Breisgau: Herder, 2009, 152.

⁵⁸Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter *Laudato si'*, 157.